Appl. No. 09/971,131

Amdt. Dated June 28, 2004

Reply to Office Action of March 30, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1–10 and 12–24 are pending. Claims 8–10, 12, and 18 are allowed. Claims 7, 17,

and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent from a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claim. Applicant appreciates the indication of allowance for claims 8–10, 12,

and 18, and has rewritten the remaining claims as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1–6, 13–16, and 19–21 stand rejected.

Claim 1 is amended to include the limitation from claim 7. Claim 13 is amended to

include the limitation from claim 17. Claim 20 is amended to include the limitation of claim 22.

This effectively rewrites claims 7, 17, and 22 in independent form including the base claim and

any intervening claims, as suggested by the Examiner. Claims 7, 17, and 22 are cancelled

without prejudice.

It is believed that this amendment as suggested by the Examiner puts independent claims

1, 13, and 20 in condition for allowance. Because independent claims 1, 13, and 20 are now in

condition for allowance, claims dependent therefrom are also in condition for allowance.

As a result of the above amendment, claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-16, 18-21, and 23-24 are

pending and are believed to be in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is

respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP

Date: 6/28/94

Warren M. Haines II

Reg. No. 40,632

Telephone (216) 622-8477

Page 6 of 6